

CV 14-7368

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FILED
CLERK

2014 DEC 18 AM 11:52

CLEVON MORRISON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK and P.O. DAVID GONZALEZ, Shield No.
17332, Individually and in his Official Capacity,

Defendants.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT
OF NEW YORK
COMPLAINT
JURY TRIAL DEMAND

TOWNES, J
BLOOM, M.J.

Plaintiff, CLEVON MORRISON, by and through his attorneys, **THE LAW OFFICES
OF MICHAEL S. LAMONSOFF, PLLC**, as and for his Complaint, respectfully alleges, upon
information and belief:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff bring this action for compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 42 U.S.C. § 1988 for violations of his civil rights, as said rights are secured by said statutes and the Constitution of the United States of America.

JURISDICTION

2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 42 U.S.C. § 1988, and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

3. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343, and 1367.

VENUE

4. Venue is properly laid in the Eastern District of New York under U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that this is the District in which the claim arose.

JURY DEMAND

5. Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury of all issues in this matter pursuant to

Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b).

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff, CLEVON MORRISON, is, and has been, at all relevant times, a resident of the City and State of New York.

7. Defendant, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, was and is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.

8. Defendant, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, maintains the New York City Police Department, a duly authorized public authority and/or police department, authorized to perform all functions of a police department as per the applicable sections of the New York State Criminal Procedure Law, acting under the direction and supervision of the aforementioned municipal corporation, THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

9. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the individually named defendant, P.O. DAVID GONZALEZ, was a duly sworn police officer of said department and was acting under the supervision of said department and according to his official duties.

10. At all times hereinafter mentioned the defendants, either personally or through their employees, were acting under color of state law and/or in compliance with the official rules, regulations, laws, statutes, customs, usages and/or practices of the State or CITY OF NEW YORK.

11. Each and all of the acts of the defendants alleged herein were done by said defendants while acting within the scope of their employment by defendant, THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

12. Each and all of the acts of the defendants alleged herein were done by said defendants while acting in furtherance of their employment by defendant, THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

FACTS

13. On or about September 9, 2013, at approximately 1:00 a.m., plaintiff CLEVON MORRISON, was lawfully driving his vehicle on Bushwick Avenue in Kings County in the State of

New York.

14. At that time and place, the defendant officer ordered plaintiff to pull over and the plaintiff complied.

15. After a short interaction during which plaintiff complied with each lawful order issued to him, the defendant officer placed plaintiff under arrest.

16. At no time on or about September 9, 2013 did plaintiff commit any crime or violation of law.

17. At no time on or about September 9, 2013 did defendants possess probable cause to arrest plaintiff.

18. At no time on or about September 9, 2013 did defendants possess information that would lead a reasonable officer to believe probable cause existed to arrest plaintiff.

19. Nevertheless, defendant transported plaintiff to a nearby precinct.

20. Plaintiff was thereafter held for approximately twenty-four hours before being presented before a judicial officer who ordered plaintiff released on his own recognizance.

21. In connection with plaintiff's arrest, the defendants filled out false and/or misleading police reports and forwarded them to prosecutors at the Kings County District Attorney's Office.

22. As a result of the defendants' conduct, the plaintiff, CLEVON MORRISON, was charged with Criminal Possession of a Forged Instrument based on a false allegation that his license plate was forged.

23. Thereafter, defendants repeatedly gave false and misleading testimony regarding the facts and circumstances of plaintiff's arrest including to the Grand Jury.

24. Despite defendants' actions, after making approximately two court appearances, all charges against plaintiff were dismissed in their entirety on January 30, 2014.

25. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff CLEVON MORRISON sustained, *inter alia*,

mental anguish, shock, fright, apprehension, embarrassment, humiliation, and deprivation of his constitutional rights.

26. All of the aforementioned acts of defendants, their agents, servants and employees, were carried out under the color of state law.

27. All of the aforementioned acts deprived plaintiff of the rights, privileges and immunities guaranteed to citizens of the United States by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America, and were therefore in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983.

28. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers with all the actual and/or apparent authority attendant thereto.

29. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers, pursuant to the customs, usages, practices, procedures, and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, all under the supervision of ranking officers of said department.

30. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, engaged in conduct which constituted a custom, usage, practice, procedure or rule of the respective municipality/authority, which is forbidden by the Constitution of the United States.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF FOR
FALSE ARREST UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983**

31. Plaintiff CLEVON MORRISON repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation set forth above with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein and at length.

32. As a result of the defendants' conduct, plaintiff was subjected to illegal, improper and false arrest, taken into custody, and caused to be falsely imprisoned, detained, and confined without any probable cause, privilege, or consent.

33. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff's liberty was restricted, he was put in fear for

his safety, and he was humiliated and subjected to handcuffing and other physical restraints, without probable cause.

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF FOR
MALICIOUS PROSECUTION UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983**

34. Plaintiff, CLEVON MORRISON, repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation set forth above with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein and at length.

35. Defendant misrepresented and falsified evidence before the Kings County District Attorney.

36. Defendant did not make a complete and full statement of facts to the District Attorney.

37. Defendant withheld exculpatory evidence from the District Attorney.

38. Defendant was directly and actively involved in the initiation of criminal proceedings against plaintiff.

39. Defendant lacked probable cause to initiate criminal proceedings against plaintiff.

40. Defendant acted with malice in initiating criminal proceedings against plaintiff.

41. Defendant was directly and actively involved in the continuation of criminal proceedings against plaintiff.

42. Defendant lacked probable cause to continue criminal proceedings against plaintiff.

43. Defendant misrepresented and falsified evidence throughout all phases of the criminal proceedings.

44. Notwithstanding the perjurious and fraudulent conduct of defendant, the criminal proceedings were terminated in plaintiff's favor on or about January 30, 2014 when the charges against him were dismissed.

45. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff's liberty was restricted, he was put in fear for his safety, and he was humiliated and subjected to handcuffing and other physical restraints without probable cause.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
FOR MUNICIPAL LIABILITY UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983

46. Plaintiff, repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation set forth above with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein and at length.

47. Defendant arrested, searched, and incarcerated plaintiff CLEVON MORRISON, in the absence of any evidence of criminal wrongdoing, notwithstanding their knowledge that said search, arrest and incarceration would jeopardize plaintiff's liberty, well-being, safety, and violate their constitutional rights.

48. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendant in his capacity as a police officer and official, with all the actual and/or apparent authority attendant thereto.

49. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendant in his capacity as a police officer and officials pursuant to the customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures, and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, all under the supervision of ranking officers of said department.

50. Those customs, policies, patterns, and practices include, but are not limited to:

- i. requiring officers to make a predetermined number of arrests and/or issue a predetermined number of summonses within a predetermined time frame;
- ii. requiring precincts to record a predetermined number of arrests and/or issue a predetermined number of summonses within a predetermined time frame;
- iii. failing to take any measures to correct unconstitutional behavior when brought to the attention of supervisors and/or policy makers;
- iv. failing to properly train police officers in the requirements of the United

States Constitution.

51. The aforesaid customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department directly cause, *inter alia*, the following unconstitutional practices:

- i. arresting individuals regardless of probable cause in order to inflate the officer's arrest statistics;
- ii. arresting individuals regardless of probable cause in order to positively affect precinct-wide statistics;
- iii. falsifying evidence and testimony to support those arrests;
- iv. falsifying evidence and testimony to cover up police misconduct.

52. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department constitute a deliberate indifference to the safety, well-being and constitutional rights of plaintiff, CLEVON MORRISON.

53. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department were the direct and proximate cause of the constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff as alleged herein.

54. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department were the moving force behind the constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff as alleged herein.

55. As a result of the foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, plaintiff was placed under arrest unlawfully.

56. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, were directly and actively involved in violating the constitutional rights of plaintiff.

57. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law,

acquiesced in a pattern of unconstitutional conduct by subordinate police officers, and were directly responsible for the violation of plaintiff's constitutional rights.

58. All of the foregoing acts by defendants deprived plaintiff of federally protected constitutional rights, particularly their Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights to be free from unreasonable search and seizure.


WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs respectfully requests judgment against defendants as follows:

- i. an order awarding compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- ii. an order awarding punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- iii. reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under 42 U.S.C. §1988; and
- iv. directing such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper, together with attorneys' fees, interest, costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: New York, New York
December 12, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

**LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL S.
LAMONSOFF, PLLC**
Counsel for the Plaintiff

By: 
MATTHEW SHROYER (MS-6041)
80 Maiden Lane, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(212) 962-1020

Docket No.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT COURT OF NEW YORK

CLEVON MORRISON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND P.O. DAVID GONZALEZ, SHIELD NO.17332,
INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY,

Defendants.

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL S. LAMONSOFF, PLLC
/ Attorneys for Plaintiff
80 Maiden Lane, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(212) 962-1020

TO: Corporation Counsel
City of New York
c/o New York City Law Dept
100 Church Street
New York, New York 10007

P. O. David Gonzalez, Shield No.17332
c/o New York City Police Dept-Brooklyn Task Force
179 Wilson Avenue
Brooklyn, New York 11237

Pursuant to 22NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York, certifies that, upon information and belief and reasonable inquiry, the contentions contained in the annexed document are not frivolous.


Matthew Shroyer